

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENT | OR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/631,207 | 07/31/2003 | Noel D. Wendt | | 17980 USA | 3306 |
| 27081 7590 05/19/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| OWENS-ILLI ONE SEAGAT | | | | FULTON, CHRISTOPHER W | |
| TOLEDO, OH | • | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2859 | |
| • | | | | DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summer | 10/631,207 | WENDT ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Christopher W. Fulton | 2859 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This | | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E. | ce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| , | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. | ı | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,9,11-13,15,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>4,7,8,10,14 and 16-20</u> is/are objected | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>31 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ | | v the Examiner | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | on is required if the drawing(s) is obje | ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | miner. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | . I | | | | | | |
| 12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)- | (d) or (f). | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priorit | y documents have been received | in this National Stage | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | f the certified copies not received | l . | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary (F Paper No(s)/Mail Date | PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Par | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/31/03</u> . S. Patent and Trademark Office | 6) | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/631,207

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The status of application 09/679,584 cited on page 3 line 12 needs to be updated in the specification.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103/

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 9, 11-13, 15, 21, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans et al in view of Possati, et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,207

Art Unit: 2859

The device as claimed is substantially disclosed by Evans et al with a spring 82 carried by a support, a rod 68 coupled to the spring at one end and a plug 116 couple to the other end of the rod to gauge an opening, but lacks a motor to lower the rod under the force of the spring and to raise the rod after the measurement. Possati, et al teaches using an old and well known motor to move the gauge relative to the workpiece to obtain a measurement without the user moving the device or the workpiece. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use any old and well known motor (servo motor) in Evans et al to move the rod into and out of engagement with the hole as taught by Possati et al to automatically control the measuring movement of the device. In addition, air spring are old and well known biasing agents without metal fatigue of metal springs. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use an air spring in the combination of Evans et al and Possati, et al in place of the existing spring to reduce the metal fatigue of the existing spring.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 4, 7, 8, 10, 14, and 16-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher W. Fulton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-W & F 6:00-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/631,207

Art Unit: 2859

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego F.F. Gutierrez can be reached on (571) 272-2245. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Christopher W. Fulton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2859

CWF